UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,293	11/20/2003	Robert James Blainey	CA920030013US1	1209	
46073 IBM CORPOR	7590 04/01/200 ATION (VE)	8	EXAMINER		
C/O VOLEL EMILE			ZHE, MENG YAO		
P. O. BOX 1624 AUSTIN, TX 7	= :		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2195		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/718,293 BLAINEY ET AL.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MENGYAO ZHE	2195				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):					
(1) <u>MENGYAO ZHE</u> .	(3) <u>Volel Emile</u> .					
(2)	(4)					
Date of Interview: 27 March 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)∐ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Ishihata et al., Patent	<i>No.</i> 5,278,975.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant pointed out that Ishihata only uses a series of registers, which are merely hardwares, to control when processes may move to the next release state. However, with the proposed amended claim, it requires a separated dedicated process, which is software, to control the release state. The Examiner will have to do a further search on this limitation. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	red				

Application No.

Applicant(s)